	Case 2:23-cv-02765-DC-CKD Docume	nt 13 Filed 04/29/25 Page 1 of 2					
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
10							
11	ASHLEY ELIZABETH RUDOLPH,	No. 2:23-cv-02765-DC-CKD					
12	Plaintiff,						
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS					
14	COMMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL						
15	SECURITY,  Defendant.	(Doc. Nos. 7, 9, 12)					
16	Defendant.						
17	Plaintiff Ashley Elizabeth Rudolph, proceeding with counsel, brings this action seeking						
18	judicial review of the Commissioner of Social Security's final decision denying Plaintiff's						
19	application for benefits under the Social Security Act. (Doc. No. 1.) The matter was referred to a						
20	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.						
21	On February 4, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations						
22	recommending that Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 7) be denied, that						
23	Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 9) be granted, and that the						
24	Commissioner's final decision be affirmed. (Doc. No. 12.) The findings and recommendations						
25	were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within						
26	fourteen (14) days from the date of service. (Id. at 11.) To date, no objections to the findings and						
27	recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.						
28	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a						
		1					
	ı						

	Case 2:23-cv	/-02765-DC-CKD	Document 13	Filed 04/29/25	Page 2 of 2		
1	de novo revie	ew of the case. Havin	ng carefully review	ved the entire file, the	ne court concludes that the		
2	findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.						
3	Accordingly:						
4	1.	The findings and i	recommendations i	ssued on February	4, 2025 (Doc. No. 12) are		
5		ADOPTED in full	l;				
6	2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 7) is DENIED;						
7	3. Defendant's cross-motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 9) is GRANTED;						
8	4. The Commissioner's final decision is affirmed; and						
9	5.	The Clerk of the C	Court is directed to	close this case.			
10							
11							
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.						
13	Dated: A	pril 28, 2025		Dena Coggir	106		
14					s District Judge		
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							